arXiv:math/0105250v2 [math.QA] 20 May 2002

Quantum solvable algebras. Ideals and representations at roots of 1

A. N. Panov.

Mathematical Department, Samara State University,
ul.Akad.Pavlova 1, Samara, 443011, Russia
panov@info.ssu.samara.ru

[1]

Abstract. Let R be a quantum solvable algebra. It is proved that every prime ideal I which is stable with respect to quantum adjoint action is completely prime, and $\mathrm{Fract}(R/I)$ is isomorphic to the skew field of fractions of an algebra of twisted polynomials. We study the correspondence between symplectic leaves and irreducible representations. A Conjecture of De Concini-Kac-Procesi on the dimension of irreducible representations is proved for sufficiently great l.

1 Introduction.

A quantum solvable algebra is an iterated q-skew extension, constructed by derivations and diagonal automorphisms. Among examples are Quantum Matrices, Quantum Weyl algebra, Quantum Heisenberg algebra, the positive part $U_q(\mathbf{n})$ of the quantum group $U_q(\mathbf{g})$ and also some their subalgebras and factor algebras. Representations of these algebras were treated separately [DCKP1],[DC-P],[JZ]. The main approach is a correspondence between irreducible representations of a quantum algebra and symplectic leaves of Poisson manifold of the center.

In the paper we study quantum solvable algebras in general setting (Definition 3.1), obeying some natural and easily checkable conditions (Conditions 3.2-3.4). We consider the specialisations of these algebras at primitive l^{th} of unity ε.

We make some assumptions on l. First, we require that the elements $x_1^l, \ldots, x_{n+m}^l$ lie in the center of R_ε and ε is rather great: ε is a point of good reduction of stratification of prime spectra for intedermінate q (Definition 3.10). Second, we require that l is relatively prime with all minors of integer matrix $\mathbb{S}$ (Definition 3.1) and with all integers $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ (Condition 3.2). Almost any ε that obeys the second conditions is a point of good reduction (Theorem 3.15). We say that ε is admissible, if it obeys the above conditions (Definition 3.20).

---

[1] The work is supported by RFFI grant 02-01-00017.

We study prime ideals stable with respect to quantum adjoint action (Definition 2.1). We study their intersections with components of filtration of quantum solvable algebras (Theorem 2.12). All prime ideals which are stable with respect to quantum adjoint action are completely prime (Theorem 3.24). We get a stratification of these ideals. This helps us to study irreducible representations and symplectic leaves (Theorem 5.3). In particular, we prove that the dimension of any irreducible representation equals to $l^{d/2}$ where d is the dimension of the corresponding symplectic leaf. It was conjectured by C.De Concini, V.G.Kac, and C.Procesi in the papers [DCKP1,4.5] and [DC-P,25.1].

We are very grateful for the referees for numerous pertinent remarks and advices.

2 On quantum adjoint action and stable ideals

In this section we study skew polynomial extensions. Let R be a ring, τ be an endomorphism of R, and δ be a τ-derivation of R (i.e. $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + \tau(a)\delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in R$). A skew polynomial extension of a ring R is the ring $E = R[\underline{x}; \tau, \delta]$ generated by indeterminate $\underline{x}$ and R obeying $\underline{x}a = \tau(a)\underline{x} + \delta(a)$ for all $a \in R$ (see [MC-R,2.1], [GL1]). The main statement of this section is Theorem 2.12 on the reduction of prime ideals in skew extensions.

Throughout the paper we use the following notations. Let K be a field of zero characteristic and let q be an indeterminate. We denote by C a localization of $K[q, q^{-1}]$ over some finitely generated denominator set and $F = \mathrm{Fract}(C)$. Let Γ be the cyclic subgroup $\{q^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in the group of invertible elements C^* of C. Let ε be a primitive l^{th} root of unity. Denote by $K(\varepsilon)$ the field extension of K (if $\varepsilon \in K$, we put $K(\varepsilon) = K$). Suppose that C admits specialisation via $q \mapsto \varepsilon$ in the field $K(\varepsilon)$.

Throughout this section R is an unitary C-algebra and a free C-module. We consider specialisation $\rho_\varepsilon : R \to R_\varepsilon = R \bmod (q - \varepsilon)$.

Further we differentiate between the elements in R with their images in R_ε, using the following notations. For $x \in R$ we denote $x_\varepsilon = x \bmod (q - \varepsilon)R$ or for $y \in R_\varepsilon$ we denote by $\underline{y}$ an element in its preimage in R.

Let u be an element in R such that $u_\varepsilon = u \bmod (q - \varepsilon)$ lies in the center Z_ε of R_ε. For any $\underline{a} \in R$ the element $\mathrm{ad}_u(\underline{a}) = u\underline{a} - \underline{a}u$ lies in $(q-\varepsilon)R$. Whence $\frac{\mathrm{ad}_u(\underline{a})}{(q-\varepsilon)} \in R$. We denote

$$\mathcal{D}_u(a) = \frac{\mathrm{ad}_u(\underline{a})}{(q-\varepsilon)} \bmod (q - \varepsilon) \tag{2.1}$$

for $a = \underline{a} \bmod (q-\varepsilon) \in R_\varepsilon$. It is easy to check that the definition (2.1) is independent of the choice of preimage $\underline{a}$ of a.

Definition 2.1. We say that $\mathcal{D}_u : R_\varepsilon \to R_\varepsilon$ is the quantum adjoint action of $u \in R$.

Remark. The derivations $\mathcal{D}_u$ and their properties were studed in the papers [DCKP1-2] and [DC-P]. There is no definition of quantum adjoint action in the above papers. The term of quantum coadjoint action were used for the action of the subgroup $exp(t\mathcal{D}_u)$ on maximal spectrum of Z_ε.

The following properties are straightforward to check.

Property 2.2. $\mathcal{D}_u$ is a derivation of R_ε. That is $\mathcal{D}_u(ab) = \mathcal{D}_u(a)b + a\mathcal{D}_u(b)$ for all

$a, b \in R_\varepsilon$.
Property 2.3. If $u \bmod (q-\varepsilon) = u_1 \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$, then $u_1 - u = (q-\varepsilon)r$, $r \in R$ and $\mathcal{D}_{u_1} = \mathcal{D}_u + \mathrm{ad}_r$. This implies that an ideal $\mathcal{P}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{u_1}$-stable whenever it is $\mathcal{D}_u$-stable.
Property 2.4. If $u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \in Z_\varepsilon$ for $u, v \in R$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{uv}(a) = \mathcal{D}_u(a)v_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}_v(a)$$

Property 2.5. The bracket

$$\{u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon\} = \mathcal{D}_u(v_\varepsilon) = -\mathcal{D}_v(u_\varepsilon)$$

is a Poisson bracket on Z_ε.

Consider a skew polynomial extension $E = R[\underline{x}; \tau, \delta]$ where τ is an automorphism and δ is τ-derivation of R. We assume that $\tau|_C = \mathrm{id}$, $\delta|_C = 0$ and suppose that E is a q^s-skew extension of R. That is $\delta\tau = q^s\tau\delta$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ([G],[GL1-2]). One can extend the automorphism τ to an automorphism of E, putting $\tau(\underline{x}) = q^{-s}\underline{x}$. We save the notation τ for this extension. Notice that $E_\varepsilon = E\mathrm{mod}(q-\varepsilon) = R_\varepsilon[x; \tau, \delta]$ where $x = \underline{x}\mathrm{mod}(q-\varepsilon)$
Assumptions 2.6. 1) As above ε is a primitive l^{th} root of unity. We suppose that $GCD(s, l) = 1$ if $s \neq 0$.
2) Suppose that x^l, $x = \underline{x} \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ lie in the center of E_ε. We shall denote by $\mathcal{D}_x$ the derivation $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{x}^l}$ defined by (2.1).
3) We assume that τ is a Γ-diagonalizable automorphism of R. That is, there exists a C-basis $\{a_\alpha\}$ of R such that $\tau(a_\alpha) = q^{m_\alpha}a_\alpha$ for some $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the similar basis also exists in E.

One can extend τ and δ to an automorphism and τ-derivation of R_ε. We save the same notations for these extensions. Further we use usual notations
1) $(n) = (n)_{q^s} = \frac{q^{sn}-1}{q^s-1}$ (if $s = 0$, put $(n) = n$);
2) $(n)! = (n)_{q^s}! = (1)(2)\cdots(n)$;
3) $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{k}_{q^s} = \frac{(n)!}{(k)!(n-k)!}$.
Lemma 2.7. Let ε, R be as in Assumptions 2.6(1,2); then $\delta^l = 0 \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$, $\tau^l = \mathrm{id} \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$.
Proof. One can prove ([G,6.2],[GL,2.5]) for all $a \in R$

$$\underline{x}^l a = \sum_{i=0}^{l}\binom{l}{i}\tau^{l-i}\delta^i(a)\underline{x}^{l-i} = \tau^l(a)\underline{x}^l + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\binom{l}{i}\tau^{l-i}\delta^i(a)\underline{x}^{l-i} + \delta^l(a). \qquad (2.2)$$

Since the element $x^l = \underline{x}^l \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ lies in the center Z_ε, then $\tau^l(a) = a \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ and $\delta^l(a) = 0 \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$.$\square$

We shall use the following notations

$$\Delta = \frac{\delta^l}{q-\varepsilon} : R_\varepsilon \to R_\varepsilon,$$

$$\theta = \frac{\tau^l - \mathrm{id}}{q-\varepsilon} : E_\varepsilon \to E_\varepsilon.$$

Remark that Δ is a linear operator on R_ε and θ is a derivation of E_ε. For any integer m we denote

$$\underline{m} = \left(\frac{q^{ml}-1}{q-\varepsilon}\right)_{q=\varepsilon} = ml\varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Notice that, if $\tau(a) = q^m a$ for some $a \in E$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\theta(a_\varepsilon) = \underline{m} a_\varepsilon$.

Consider the localization R' of R over the set

$$\{f(q) \in C : f(\varepsilon) \neq 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.8. Let ε, R be as in Assumptions 2.6(1,2); then $\frac{\delta^k}{(k)!}(R') \subset R'$ for any positive integer k.

Proof. For $s = 0$ the statement is trivial. Let $s \neq 0$. Let k be a positive integer. Suppose that $k = \xi l + \eta$ for integers ξ and η with ξ positive and $0 \leq \eta < l$. By above Lemma 2.7, $\delta^l(R) \subset (q-\varepsilon)R$. For a in R we have

$$\delta^k(a) = \delta^{\xi l+\eta}(a) = \delta^\eta(\delta^l)^\xi(a) \subset (q-\varepsilon)^\xi \delta^\eta(R) \subset (q-\varepsilon)^\xi R.$$

On the other hand, $(q-\varepsilon)$ divides $(i) = \frac{q^{is}-1}{q^s-1}$ if and only if l divides i. It follows $(k)! = (q-\varepsilon)^\xi c(q)$ where $c(\varepsilon) \neq 0$. This implies the assertion.□

For all $g \in R$ we denote

$$\Pi_n(g) = \tau^{n-1}(g) \cdots \tau(g) g.$$

Lemma 2.9. Let ε, R obey Assumptions 2.6(1,2). Let $a \in R$ and let I_a be the minimal τ-ideal generated by a in R'. Let n, m be positive integers. We assert that

$$\frac{\delta^n(a^m)}{(n)!} = \begin{cases} \Pi_n(\delta(a)) \bmod I_a & \text{for} \quad m = n, \\ 0 \bmod I_a & \text{for} \quad n < m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We shall prove the lemma by induction on n. The case $n = 1$ is easy. Suppose that statement is true for all positive integers less then n. We are going to prove for n. We need the formula [G,6.2]:

$$\delta^n(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \tau^{n-i}\delta^i(a)\delta^{n-i}(b). \tag{2.3}$$

We get

$$\delta^n(a^m) = \delta(a^{m-1}a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \tau^{n-i}\delta^i(a^{m-1})\delta^{n-i}(a).$$

We obtain

$$\frac{\delta^n(a^m)}{(n)!} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \tau^{n-i}\frac{\delta^i(a^{m-1})}{(i)!}\frac{\delta^{n-i}(a)}{(n-i)!} + \frac{\tau\delta^{n-1}(a^{m-1})}{(n-1)!}\delta(a) + \frac{\delta^n(a^{m-1})}{(n)!}a. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $n < m$. By the induction hypothesis, $\frac{\delta^i(a^{m-1})}{(i)!} \in I_a$ for $i \leq n-1$. By Lemma 2.8, $\frac{\delta^{n-i}(a)}{(n-i)!} \in R'$. This proves that all terms in (2.4), apart from the last one, lie in I_a. As for the last term, it obviously lies in I_a. This concludes the case $n < m$.

Let $m = n$. Similarly to the previous case, we get

$$\frac{\delta^n(a^n)}{(n)!} = \frac{\tau\delta^{n-1}(a^{n-1})}{(n-1)!}\delta(a) \bmod I_a = \tau(\Pi_{n-1}(\delta(a)))\delta(a) \bmod I_a = \Pi_n(\delta(a)) \bmod I_a.$$

$\square$

Let I be an ideal in E_ε. Denote $J = I\bigcap R_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.10. Let Assumptions 2.6(1-3) hold. Suppose that I is θ-stable.
1) I is τ-stable.
2) The ideal J is (τ, δ)-stable. If in addition I is $\mathcal{D}_x$-stable, then J is a Δ-stable ideal in R_ε.

Proof.
1) The automorphism $\tau : E \to E$ is diagonalizable. Let $\{a_\alpha\}$ be a basis such that each a_α is τ-eigenvector: $\tau(a_\alpha) = q^{m_\alpha}a_\alpha$. Consider the reduction of C-basis a_α modulo $q - \varepsilon$. We get a $\mathbb{C}$-basis $a_{\alpha\varepsilon} = a_\alpha \bmod (q - \varepsilon)$. The derivation θ is diagonalizable and $\theta(a_{\alpha\varepsilon}) = \underline{m}_\alpha a_{\alpha\varepsilon}$. Every θ-eigenvector lies in a span of basis elements $a_{\alpha\varepsilon}$ corresponding to a common θ-eigevalue $\underline{m}$. Then all these $a_{\alpha\varepsilon}$ have the common τ-eigenvalue ε^m. This proves that every θ-eigenvector is also τ-eigenvector (the converse is not true).

Let a be an element in I. Decompose a into a sum of θ-eigenvectors with different eigenvalues (which we call θ-components of a). Since I is θ-stable, all θ-components also lie in I. We saw above that this θ-components are τ-eigenvectors. These prove that $\tau(a) \in I$. We conclude that I is τ-stable.
2) Since I is (τ, θ)-stable, the ideal J is also (τ, θ)-stable. Let a be in J. Since $xa = \tau(a)x + \delta(a)$, then $xa, \tau(a)x \in I$. Whence $\delta(a) \in J$. This proves that J is δ-stable.

Suppose that I is $\mathcal{D}_x$-stable. By direct calculations,

$$\mathcal{D}_x(a) = \theta(a)x^l + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{\binom{l}{i}_{q^s}}{q-\varepsilon}\tau^{l-i}(\delta^i(a))x^{l-i} + \Delta(a). \tag{2.5}$$

Since $\theta(a) \in J$ and $\mathcal{D}_x(a) \in I$, then $\Delta(a) \in J$. $\square$

Lemma 2.11. Let τ, δ be as above; then $\delta(\theta(a)) = (\theta + \underline{s})\delta(a)$ for all $a \in R_\varepsilon$.

Proof. Putting $q = \varepsilon$ in

$$\delta\frac{\tau^l - \mathrm{id}}{q-\varepsilon} = \frac{q^{sl}\tau^l - \mathrm{id}}{q-\varepsilon}\delta = \left(q^{sl}\frac{\tau^l - \mathrm{id}}{q-\varepsilon} + \frac{q^{sl}-1}{q-\varepsilon}\right)\delta,$$

we obtain $\delta\theta = (\theta + \underline{s})\delta$. $\square$

Theorem 2.12. Let R be a Noetherian C-algebra and a free C-module. Suppose that $E = R[\underline{x}; \tau, \delta]$ is a q^s-skew extension.
1) Let R obey Assumption 2.6(3). Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a prime τ-stable ideal in E. Then the ideal $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{I}\bigcap R$ is also prime.
2) Let ε and R obey Assumptions 2.6(1-3). Let I be a prime $(\theta, \mathcal{D}_x)$-stable ideal in E_ε. Then the ideal $J = I\bigcap R_\varepsilon$ is also prime.

Proof.
1) Since $\mathcal{I}$ is τ-stable, then $\mathcal{J}$ is (τ, δ)-stable. The ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is prime τ-stable; then $\mathcal{J}$

is (τ,δ)-prime. By [G,6.5], $\mathcal{J}$ is τ-prime. It follows that $\mathcal{J}$ is semiprime and minimal prime ideals over $\mathcal{J}$ form a τ-orbit. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is τ-stable, $\mathcal{J}$ is prime.
2) The proof divides in two points 2i) and 2ii).
2i) In this point we are going to prove that J is semiprime. By Lemma 2.10, the ideal J is a (τ,δ)-stable. Then EJ is a two-sided ideal in E_ε. The ideal J is a (τ,δ)-prime ideal in R_ε [GL2, 2.1(vi)].

Let N be an ideal in R_ε such that $N/J = \mathrm{Rad}(R_\varepsilon/J)$. Hence, $N^n \subseteq J$ for some positive integer n. We are going to prove that $N = J$.

The radical N is stable under actions of automorphisms and derivations [MC-R,14.2.3]. In particular N is (τ,θ)-stable.

Suppose that N is δ-stable. Then $E_\varepsilon N$ is a two-sided ideal in E_ε. We see that $(E_\varepsilon N)^n \subseteq E_\varepsilon N^n \subseteq E_\varepsilon J \subseteq I$. Since I is prime, we have $E_\varepsilon N \subseteq I$. Notice that $N = (E_\varepsilon N)\bigcap R_\varepsilon$ and $J = I \bigcap R_\varepsilon$. Whence $N \subseteq J$. This proves that J is semiprime.

The above observation shows that it remains to prove that N is δ-stable. Consider the image $\mathcal{L} = \delta(N) \bmod (N)$ in R_ε/N and suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is non zero. Since

$$\delta(N) = \delta(R_\varepsilon N R_\varepsilon) = \delta(R_\varepsilon)NR_\varepsilon + \tau(R_\varepsilon)\delta(N)R_\varepsilon + \tau(R_\varepsilon)\tau(N)R_\varepsilon,$$

we see that $\mathcal{L}$ is an ideal in $B = R_\varepsilon/N$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.11, $\theta\delta(N) = \delta(\theta(N)) - \underline{s}\delta(N)$. Whence the ideal $\mathcal{L}$ is (τ,θ)-stable. The algebra B is semiprime. Its Goldie quotient algebra $A = \mathrm{Goldie}(B)$ is a direct sum of matrix algebras over division rings $A = \oplus_{i=1}^N A_i$. The automorphism τ acts on components A_i by permutations. The ideal $A\mathcal{L}A$ is the sum $A' = \oplus_{i=1}^t A_i$ which is τ-stable. There exist the elements $r_1,\dots,r_k,p_1,\dots,p_k \in A$ and $h_1,\dots,h_k \in \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$1_{A'} = r_1h_1p_1 + \cdots r_kh_kp_k.$$

Multiplying this equality by suitable regular elements of B, we deduce that $\mathcal{L}$ contains a regular element of A', say h. This implies that $\Pi_n(h) \neq 0$ with n as above.

Denote by a an element of R_ε such that $a \in N$, $\delta(a) = h$. Denote by $\underline{a} \in R$ a representative of $a \in R_\varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\frac{\delta^n(\underline{a}^n)}{(n)!} = \Pi_n(h) + \underline{b}$$

with some $\underline{b} \in I_{\underline{a}}$. As in Lemma 2.8 decompose $n = \xi l + \eta$, $0 \le \eta < l$ and ξ,η are positive integers. Denote

$$\underline{d} = \frac{\delta^n(\underline{a}^n)}{(q-\varepsilon)^\xi} = c(q)(\Pi_n(h) + \underline{b})$$

with $c(\varepsilon) \neq 0$. Take the above equality modulo $q-\varepsilon$. Notice that $R' \bmod (q-\varepsilon) = R \bmod (q-\varepsilon) = R_\varepsilon$. The element $d = \underline{d} \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ equals to A'-regular element $c(\varepsilon)\Pi_n(h)$ modulo N.

On the other hand,

$$\underline{d} = \frac{\delta^n(\underline{a}^n)}{(q-\varepsilon)^\xi} = \left(\frac{\delta^l}{q-\varepsilon}\right)^\xi \delta^\eta(\underline{a}^n) = \Delta^\xi\delta^\eta(a^n) \bmod (q-\varepsilon),$$

$$d = \Delta^{\xi}\delta^{\eta}(a^n).$$

Recall that $a^n \in N^n \subseteq J$ and J is (δ, Δ)-stable, by Lemma 2.10(2). It follows that $d \in J$. Whence $d = 0 \bmod N$. A contradiction. The ideal N is δ-stable and J is semiprime.
2ii) In this point we shall prove that J is prime.

Since J is semiprime, one can present it as an intersection of prime ideals $J = Q_1 \bigcap \cdots \bigcap Q_m$. If a derivation preserves J, then it preserves all Q_i (see [MC-R,14.2.3] and [D,3.3.2]). This implies that all ideals Q_i are θ. Whence Q_i is τ-stable, as in the proof of Lemma 2.10(1). Retain the notations of the point 2i) (with now $N = J$). Decompose $A = \oplus_{i=1}^m A_i$, $A_i = Ae_i$. Automorphism τ-acts on the system of central minimal idempotents $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ by permutations. Since $\tau(A_i) \subset A_i$, then $\tau(e_i) = e_i$ and

$$\delta(e_i) = \delta(e_i^2) = \delta(e_i)e_i + \tau(e_i)\delta(e_i) = 2e_i\delta(e_i).$$

Since K has characteristic zero, this proves $\delta(e_i) = 0$. Whence $\delta(Q_i) \subset Q_i$ for all i. Since J is (τ, δ)-prime, $J = Q_i$. Finally, J is prime.
□

3 Stratification of $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideals

In this section we are going to get stratification of prime $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideals at roots of unity. This provides a reduction to the case of algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials. We prove that all prime $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideals are completely prime.

Recall K is a field of zero characteristic, q is an indeterminate and C is a localization of $K[q, q^{-1}]$ over some finitely generated denominator set. Let $\mathbb{S} = (s_{ij})$ be a $M \times M$ integer skew-symmetric matrix. Denote $q_{ij} = q^{s_{ij}}$ and form the matrix $\mathbb{Q} = (q_{ij})$.
Definition 3.1([P1],[P2]). We say that a ring R is quantum solvable over C, if R is generated by the elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n+m}^{\pm 1}$ with $M = n+m$ such that the monomials $x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n} x_{n+1}^{t_{n+1}} \cdots x_{n+m}^{t_{n+m}}$ with $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_{n+1}, \ldots, t_{n+m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a free C-basis with the relations
1) $x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i$ for all i and $n+1 \leq j \leq n+m$;
2) for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ the formula holds

$$x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i + r_{ij}, \tag{3.1}$$

where r_{ij} is an element of the subalgebra R_{i+1} generated by $x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n+m}^{\pm 1}$.

There exists a chain of subalgebras $R = R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \cdots \supset R_n \supset R_{n+1}$. The last algebra R_{n+1} is an algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials generated by $x_{n+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}$. One can prove that a quantum solvable algebra is an iterated skew extension of C (see [MC-R],[GL1]). This means that for all $i \in [1, n]$ the map $\tau_i : x_j \mapsto q_{ij} x_j, i > j$ is extended to an automorphism of R_{i+1} and the map $\delta_i : x_j \mapsto r_{ij}$ is extended to a τ_i-derivation of R_{i+1}. Each algebra R_i is the skew extension $R_{i+1}[x_i; \tau_i.\delta_i]$. All automorphisms τ_i are identical on C and all τ_i-derivations δ_i are equal to zero on C. A quantum solvable algebra is a Noetherian domain [MC-R,1.2.9]. We put some more conditions on R. This conditions are comparable with more general conditions Q1-Q4 of [P2].

Condition 3.2. We require that R is an iterated q-skew extension in terms of [GL1-2]. This means that $\delta_i\tau_i = q_i\tau_i\delta_i$ for some $q_i = q^{s_i}$, $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Condition 3.3. All automorphisms τ_i are extended to diagonal automorphisms of R (i.e the monomials $x_1^{t_1}\cdots x_n^{t_n}x_{n+1}^{s_1}\cdots x_{n+m}^{s_m}$, $t_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are eigenvectors) with eigenvalues in $\Gamma = \{q^k : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Denote by H the group of diagonal automorphisms of R generated by $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n+m}$. We shall refer a common eigenvector for all $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n+m}$ as a H-weight vector.

Condition 3.4. Let Λ be the subset of the set of all roots of unity in the algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ such that $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$ if ε is a primitive l^{th} root of unity and the elements $x_1^l, \ldots, x_n^l$ modulo $q-\varepsilon$ lie in the center Z_ε of R_ε. We require that Λ is infinite.

The last Condition claims that R_ε is finite over its center for an infinite set of specialisations of C. Such algebras R are called pure C-algebras in the paper [P1]. The Conditions 3.2-3.4 imply the Conditions Q1-Q4 of the paper [P2] (in the case C is a localization of $K[q, q^{-1}]$ over some finitely generated denominator set). See [P2] for examples. One gets the following stratification of prime ideals with zero intersection with C.

Definition 3.5. We say that two elements a, b q-commute if $ab = q^k ba$ for some integer k.

Theorem 3.6[P2, Theorem 3.4]. Let R be a quantum solvable algebra obeying Conditions 3.2-3.4.

1) There exists a finite set $\mathbb{M} = \{\mathcal{P}_\mu\}$ of semiprime H-stable ideals with $\mathcal{P}\bigcap C = 0$ for all μ, and denominator subsets $S_\mu \subset R/\mathcal{P}_\mu$ with S_μ generated by $k+m$ q-commuting H-weight elements. Here, $\mu = (i_1, \ldots, i_{k+1})$ where k and $\{i_s : 1 \leq s \leq k+1\}$ are non-negative integers, and $k + i_1 + \cdots + i_{k+1} = n$. The localization $(R/\mathcal{P}_\mu)S_\mu^{-1}$ is isomorphic to a factor algebra of an algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials.

2) Any prime ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of R with zero intersection with C (recall that indeed all such ideals are completely prime) contains a unique ideal $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ such that $S_\mu\bigcap(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{P}_\mu) = \emptyset$.

This yields a stratification of Spec(R). We recall here some of the details, referring to [P2, Theorem 3.4] for complete proof.

Fix any integer i_1 with $0 \leq i_1 \leq n$. Consider the subset $L_1 = \{x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{n-i_1+1}\}$ of i_1 generators of R. Let $\mathcal{J}_1$ be the minimal semiprime ideal containing L_1. Denote by X_1 the set of minimal prime ideals over $\mathcal{J}_1$. We decompose $X_1 = X_1'\bigcup X_1''\bigcup X_1'''$ where

$$X_1' = \{\mathcal{Q} \in X_1 : \mathcal{Q}\bigcap C = 0, x_{n-i_1} \notin \mathcal{Q}, \},$$

$$X_1'' = \{\mathcal{Q} \in X_1 : \mathcal{Q}\bigcap C \neq 0, x_{n-i_1} \notin \mathcal{Q}\},$$

$$X_1''' = \{\mathcal{Q} \in X_1 : x_{n-i_1} \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{P}_{i_1} = \bigcap_{Q \in X_1'} Q. \tag{3.2}$$

If $X_1' = \emptyset$, then there is no prime ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of R such that $\mathcal{I} \supset L_1$, $\mathcal{I}\bigcap C = 0$, and $x_{n-i_1} \notin \mathcal{I}$. We add x_{n-i_1} in L_1 and begin the stratification process from the beginning.

The ideal $\mathcal{P}_{i_1}$ is H-stable, contains L_1, does not contain x_{n-i_1} and $\mathcal{P}_{i_1}\bigcap C = 0$. Denote by S_{1*} the denominator subset $\{x^m_{n-i_1}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1}$. Consider the localizaton $R^{(1)} = (R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1})S^{-1}_{1*}$. The algebra $R^{(1)}$ is generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-i_1-1}, x^{\pm1}_{n-i_1}, R_{n+1}$ and admits the filtration

$$R^{(1)} = R^{(1)}_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq R^{(1)}_{n-i_1} \supseteq R^{(1)}_{n-i_1+1} = \cdots = R^{(1)}_n = R^{(1)}_{n+1}$$

with $R^{(1)}_j = (R_j/\mathcal{P}_{i_1}\bigcap R_j)S^{-1}_{1*}$ for $j \leq n - i_1$ and $R^{(1)}_{n+1} = R_{n+1}/\mathcal{P}_{i_1}\bigcap R_{n+1}$. The subalgebra $R^{(1)}_j$ is generated by x_j and $R^{(1)}_{j+1}$.

There exists a localization of C over some finitely generated denominator subset $N_1 \subset C$ such that the algebra $R^{(1)}N^{-1}_1$ over $C_1 = CN^{-1}_1$ has the new system of H-weight generators $x'_1, \cdots, x'_{n-i_1-1}, x^{\pm1}_{n-i_1}, x^{\pm1}_{n+1}, \ldots, x^{\pm1}_{n+m}$ with the relations $x'_j x_{n-i_1} = q_{j,n-i_1}x_{n-i_1}x'_j$ [P2, Lemma 3.3]. Here $q_{j,n-i_1}$ is the $(j, n-i_1)$-th entry of the matrix $\mathbb{Q}$ (see Definition 3.1) The algebra $R^{(1)}_j N^{-1}_1$ is generated by x'_j and $R^{(1)}_{j+1}N^{-1}_1$. Denote by S_1 the denominator set generated by N_1 and S_{1*}, and retain the notation $R^{(1)}$ for the localization $R^{(1)}N^{-1}_1$. We get $R^{(1)} = (R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1})S^{-1}_1$.

We continue the stratification process. Now let i_2 be any integer with $0 \leq i_2 \leq n - i_1 - 1$. Consider the subset $L_2 = \{x'_{n-i_1-1}, \ldots, x'_{n-i_1-i_2}\}$ of i_2 generators of $R^{(1)}$. Let $\mathcal{J}_2$ be the minimal semiprime ideal of $R^{(1)}$ containing L_2. We denote by X_2 the set of minimal prime ideals over $\mathcal{J}_2$. As above we decompose $X_2 = X'_2 \bigcup X''_2 \bigcup X'''_2$ where

$$X'_2 = \{\mathcal{Q}' \in X_2 : \mathcal{Q}' \bigcap C_1 = 0, x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1} \notin \mathcal{Q}, \},$$

$$X''_2 = \{\mathcal{Q}' \in X_2 : \mathcal{Q}' \bigcap C_1 \neq 0, x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1} \notin \mathcal{Q}\},$$

$$X'''_2 = \{\mathcal{Q}' \in X_2 : x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1} \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{P}'_{i_1i_2} = \bigcap_{Q' \in X'_2} Q'. \tag{3.3}$$

There exists a semiprime H-stable ideal $\mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2}$ is R such that

$$(\mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2}/\mathcal{P}_{i_1})S^{-1}_1 = \mathcal{P}'_{i_1i_2}.$$

Preserve the notation S_1 for the image of S_1 in $R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2}$. Consider the denominator set S'_{2*} generated by $x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1}$ in $(R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2})S^{-1}_1$. The elements from S_1 q-commute with S'_{2*}. There exists a positive integer t such that $x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1}x^t_{n-i_1} \in R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2}$. Denote by S_{2*} the denominator subset generated by S_1 and $x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1}x^t_{n-i_1}$ in $R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2}$. Denote $R^{(2)} = (R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2})S^{-1}_1(S'_{2*})^{-1} = (R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1i_2})S^{-1}_{2*}$. The algebra $R^{(2)}$ is generated by

$$x'_1, \cdots, x'_{n-i_1-i_2-2}, (x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1})^{\pm1}, x^{\pm1}_{n-i_1}, x^{\pm1}_{n+1}, \ldots, x^{\pm1}_{n+m}$$

and admits the filtration

$$R^{(2)} = R^{(2)}_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq R^{(2)}_{n-i_1-i_2} = \cdots = R^{(2)}_{n-i_1} \supseteq R^{(2)}_{n-i_1+1} = \cdots = R^{(2)}_n = R^{(2)}_{n+1}.$$

There exists localization of C over some finitely generated denominator subset $N_2 \subset C$ such that the algebra $R^{(2)}N_1^{-1}$ has the new system of H-weight generators

$$x''_1, \cdots, x''_{n-i_1-i_2-2}, (x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1})^{\pm 1}, x^{\pm 1}_{n-i_1}, x^{\pm 1}_{n+1}, \ldots, x^{\pm 1}_{n+m}$$

where x''_j q-commutes with $x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1}$ and x_{n-i_1}. As above we denote by S_2 the denominator set generated by S_{2*} and N_2. We retain the notation $R^{(2)}$ for localization $R^{(2)}N_2^{-1}$. We get $R^{(2)} = (R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1 i_2})S_2^{-1}$.

We continue the stratification process. Finally, we get a semiprime H-stable ideal $\mathcal{P}_\mu = \mathcal{P}_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{k+1}}$ and a denominator subset S_μ in $R/\mathcal{P}_\mu$. The subset S_μ is generated by q-commuting H-weight elements. Denote $B_\mu = (R/\mathcal{P}_\mu)S_\mu^{-1}$. The algebra B_μ is generated by q-commuting elements $u_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, u_k^{\pm 1}, u_{k+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, u_{n+m}^{\pm 1}$ with

$$u_1 = x^{(k-1)}_{n-i_1-\cdots-i_k-(k-1)}, \ldots, u_{k-1} = x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1}, u_k = x_{n-i_1}, u_{k+1} = x_{n+1}, \ldots, u_{k+m} = x_{n+m}.$$

For any $s = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ we denote $\psi(s)$ such that

$$u_s = x^{(s-1)}_{\psi(s)}.$$

The generators $u_1, \cdots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_{k+m}$ satisfy the relations

$$u_i u_j = q'_{ij} u_j u_i \tag{3.4}$$

and the matrix

$$\mathbb{Q}_\mu = (q'_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{k+m} \tag{3.5}$$

is a submatrix of $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote by $\mathbb{S}_\mu$ the corresponding submatrix of $\mathbb{S}$. The algebra B_μ admits the filtration

$$B_\mu = B_{\mu,1} \supseteq B_{\mu,2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq B_{\mu,n} \supseteq B_{\mu,n+1} \tag{3.6}$$

with

$$B_{\mu,j} = (R_j/(\mathcal{P}_\mu \bigcap R_j))S_{\mu j}^{-1}, \qquad S_{\mu j} = S_\mu \bigcap R_j/(\mathcal{P}_\mu \bigcap R_j).$$

Notice that some subalgebras $B_{\mu,j}$ and $B_{\mu,j+1}$ can coincide. If $B_{\mu,j} \neq B_{\mu,j+1}$, then $j = \psi(s)$ for some $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and $B_{\mu,j}$ is generated by u_s and $B_{\mu,j+1}$. Recall that R_j is a skew extension of R_{j+1} and x_j (see Definition 3.1). We retain the notation x_j for image of x_j in $B_{\mu,j}$. We get that $B_{\mu,j}$ is generated by x_j and $B_{\mu,j+1}$. The elements u_s and x_j are related as follows

$$u_s = x_j + r_{j+1} \tag{3.7}$$

with $r_{j+1} \in B_{\mu,j+1}$.

Remark 3.7.

1) The ideal $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is an intersection of prime ideals $\{\mathcal{Q}\}$ with $\mathcal{Q} \bigcap C = 0$. Hence, any $c \in C$, $c \neq 0$ is a regular element in $R/\mathcal{P}_\mu$. It implies that $R/\mathcal{P}_\mu$ is a free C-module.

2) The generators of S_μ q-commute. Each element s of S_μ is a monomial of q-commuting generators $u_1, \ldots, u_{k+m}$ (see the stratification process). The elements s^l

lie in the center of B_μ mod $(q-\varepsilon)$. One can consider the new denominator subset $S_\mu^l = \{s^l : s \in S_\mu\}$ in R/P_μ. Obviously, the localization over S_μ^l coincides with the localization over S_μ. It follows that we may choose S_μ such that it lies in the center of B_μ mod $(q-\varepsilon)$.

Now we are returning to ideals in R. Let R be a quantum solvable algebra obeying Conditions 3.2-3.4. Our next goal is to study stratification of prime ideals of specialisations of R. For any $\lambda \in K$ we consider the specialisation $\rho_\lambda : R \to R_\lambda = R$ mod $(q-\lambda)$. For any prime ideal I in R_λ we denote $\mathcal{I} = \rho_\lambda^{-1}(I)$. The above ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is prime in R and $\mathcal{I} \bigcap C = C(q-\lambda)$. Denote as usual $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda} = \mathcal{P}_\mu$ mod $(q-\lambda) = \mathcal{P}_\mu + R(q-\lambda)/R(q-\lambda)$ and $S_{\mu\lambda}$ the image of S_μ in $R_\lambda/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda} = (R/\mathcal{P}_\mu)$ mod $(q-\lambda)$. Since $\mathcal{I} \bigcap C \neq 0$, we can't apply Theorem 3.6 directly. The next Example shows that above the stratification is not valid for all prime ideals.

Example 3.8. Let $R = \mathbb{A}_{q,1}$ be the Quantum Weyl algebra generated by x, y with the relation $xy - qyx = 1$. Any prime ideal of R with zero intersection with $K[q, q^{-1}]$ admits localization over y. The localization of R over y is generated by $y^{\pm 1}$ and $u = (q-1)yx + 1$ related $yu = q^{-1}uy$. Consider specialisation $q \mapsto \varepsilon = -1$. The algebra R_{-1} is generated by x, y related $xy + yx = 1$. Let I be the kernel of irreducible representation

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The ideal I of R_{-1} is prime, but does not admit localization over y. □

Definition 3.9. Let $\lambda \in \overline{K}$ be not a root of unity. We say that λ is is a point of good reduction of the stratification $\mathbb{M} = \{\mathcal{P}_\mu\}$, if the following properties hold.

Property 1. Every ideal $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda}$ is a semiprime ideal in R_λ, $S_{\mu\lambda}$ is a denominator set of $R_\lambda/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda}$.

Property 2. For any prime ideal I in R_λ there exists standard ideal $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda}$ of R_λ such that $I \supseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda}$ and $S_{\mu\lambda} \bigcap I/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda} = \emptyset$. (i.e $\mathcal{I} \supseteq \mathcal{P}_\mu$ and $S_\mu \bigcap \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{P}_\mu = \emptyset$).

We say that λ is a point of bad reduction if the statement of Definition 3.9 are not true for λ.

For a point of good reduction we denote

$$B_{\mu\lambda} = (R_\lambda/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda})S_{\mu\lambda}^{-1} = B_\mu \bmod (q-\lambda)$$

and we can study any prime ideal I in R_λ as an ideal of $B_{\mu\lambda}$ for some μ. That is we can reduce the study of I to the study of an ideal in an algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials.

Let $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$ (see Condition 3.3). That is ε is a primitive l^{th} root of unity and $x_1^l, \ldots, x_n^l$ lie in the center Z_ε of R_ε. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_0$ the set of derivations $\mathcal{D}_{x_1^l}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{x_{n+m}^l}$ defined in Section 2. We say that an ideal I of R_ε is $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable if I is stable with respect to all derivations of the set $\mathcal{D}_0$.

Definition 3.10. As above $\lambda = \varepsilon$ is a primitive l^{th} root of unity and $x_1^l, \ldots, x_{n+m}^l$ lie in the center of R_ε. We say that ε is a point of good reduction of stratification $\mathbb{M} = \{\mathcal{P}_\mu\}$, if Property 1 of above Definition 3.9 holds and Property 2 holds for any prime $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable ideal I of R_ε.

We say that $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$ is a point of bad reduction if the statement of Definition 3.10 is not true for ε. We say further that the property $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ is true for almost all $\lambda \in \overline{K}$ if there exists a finite set F such that this property is true for all elements $\lambda \in \overline{K} - F$.

Lemma 3.11. Let B' be a free C-module and an unitary C-algebra generated by q-commuting elements $u_1, \ldots, u_M$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is a prime ideal in B'. Then the image of each u_i in $B'/\mathcal{I}$ is either zero or a regular element.

Proof. If the generator u_i is a zero divisor in $B'/\mathcal{I}$, then there exists $b \notin I$ such that $u_i b \in \mathcal{I}$. Then for all j we have $u_i u_j b = q_{ij} u_j u_i b \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, $u_i B' b \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is prime, $u_i \in \mathcal{I}$. □

Lemma 3.12. Let R be a free C-module and an unitary C-algebra. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a semiprime ideal in R with $\mathcal{P} \bigcap C = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = (\mathcal{P} + (q-\varepsilon)R) \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$. We claim the following.

1) $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ is $\mathcal{D}$-stable;

2) Let $u_\varepsilon = u \bmod (q-\varepsilon) \in Z_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{D}_u$ the quantum adjoint action of u. We denote by $\bar{u}$ the image of u in $B = R/\mathcal{P}$. As usual $B_\varepsilon = B \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{u}}$ the quantum adjoint action of $\bar{u}$ on B_ε via reduction $\bmod (q-\varepsilon)$. Then $\mathcal{D}_u : B_\varepsilon \to B_\varepsilon$ coincides with $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{u}}$;

3) Denote by Z' the image of the center Z_ε of R_ε under the map $R_\varepsilon \to R_\varepsilon/\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = B_\varepsilon$. The subalgebra Z' lies in the center $Z(B_\varepsilon)$. We equip Z' with the Poisson structure inherited by the Poisson structure in Z_ε. We consider the Poisson structure of $Z(B_\varepsilon)$ defined via reduction $B_\varepsilon = B \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ (Section 2). We assert that Z' is a Poisson subalgebra of $Z(B_\varepsilon)$, i.e. the Poisson bracket of two elements in Z' coincides with their Poisson bracket as elements $Z(B_\varepsilon)$.

Proof.1) For any $a \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = (\mathcal{P} + R(q-\varepsilon)) \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ one can choose $\underline{a} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $a = \underline{a} \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$. Let $u \in R$ such that $u_\varepsilon = u \bmod (q-\varepsilon) \in Z_\varepsilon$, then $b = u\underline{a} - \underline{a}u \in R(q-\varepsilon) \bigcap \mathcal{P} = (q-\varepsilon)\mathcal{P}$. Then $b \in (q-\varepsilon)\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{D}_u(a) \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$.
The claim 2) is obtained by reduction $u\underline{a} - \underline{a}u \in (q-\varepsilon)R$ (with $\underline{a} \in R$) modulo $\mathcal{P}$. The claim 3) is a corollary of claim 2). □

Notation 3.13. Let $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$ and R obey Conditions 3.2-4. We denote

$$\theta_i = \frac{\tau_i^l - \mathrm{id}}{q - \varepsilon} : R_\varepsilon \to R_\varepsilon$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n+m$ and $\Theta = \mathrm{span}\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{\mathrm{n+m}}\}$. For any ideal I of R_ε we denote by I_Θ the greatest Θ-stable ideal in I.

Notice that any θ_i is a derivation. Whence $\Theta : Z_\varepsilon \to Z_\varepsilon$. We shall refer a common eigenvector for all $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{n+m}$ as a Θ-weight vector.

Proposition 3.14. Let $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$ and R obey Conditions 3.2-4.

1) Let $\tau \in \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n+m}\}$ and let θ be the correspoding element of $\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{n+m}\}$. Let $u \in R$ such that $u_\varepsilon = u \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ and $\tau(u) = q^m u$. Then

a) $\theta(u_\varepsilon) = \underline{m} u_\varepsilon$,

b) $\tau \mathcal{D}_u = \varepsilon^m \mathcal{D}_u \tau$,

c) $\theta \mathcal{D}_u = \mathcal{D}_u(\theta + \underline{m})$;

2) if an ideal I of R_ε is $\mathcal{D}$-stable (cor.$\mathcal{D}_0$-stable), then I_Θ is also $\mathcal{D}$-stable (cor.$\mathcal{D}_0$-stable);

3) let I be an ideal in R_ε , $\rho_\varepsilon : R \to R_\varepsilon$ be the specialisation and $\mathcal{I} = \rho_\varepsilon^{-1}(I)$. Then $\rho_\varepsilon^{-1}(I_\Theta) = \mathcal{I}_H$ where $\mathcal{I}_H$ is the greatest H-stable ideal in $\mathcal{I}$. In particular, if an ideal

I of is Θ-stable, then the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is H-stable.

Proof.

1) The proof of a) easy (see Section 2). For any $a \in R_\varepsilon$ choose $\underline{a} \in R$ such that $a = \underline{a} \bmod (q - \varepsilon)$. We have

$$\tau\left(\frac{u\underline{a} - \underline{a}u}{q - \varepsilon}\right) = q^m \left(\frac{u\tau(\underline{a}) - \tau(\underline{a})u}{q - \varepsilon}\right).$$

This yields $\tau\mathcal{D}_u(a) = \varepsilon^m \mathcal{D}_u \tau(a)$ and proves b).

By direct calculations,

$$\left(\frac{\tau^l - \mathrm{id}}{q - \varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{u\underline{a} - \underline{a}u}{q - \varepsilon}\right) = q^{ml}\left(\frac{u\tau^l(\underline{a}) - \tau^l(\underline{a})u}{(q - \varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{u\underline{a} - \underline{a}u}{(q - \varepsilon)^2} =$$

$$q^{ml}\left(u\frac{\tau^l - \mathrm{id}}{q - \varepsilon}(\underline{a}) - \frac{\tau^l - \mathrm{id}}{q - \varepsilon}(\underline{a})u\right) \cdot \frac{1}{q - \varepsilon} + \frac{q^{ml} - 1}{q - \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{u\underline{a} - \underline{a}u}{q - \varepsilon}.$$

Putting $q = \varepsilon$, we get $\theta\mathcal{D}_x(a) = \mathcal{D}_x\theta(a) + \underline{m}\mathcal{D}_x(a)$. This proves c).

2) An element a lies in I_Θ iff $a \in I$ and for any $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n + m\}$ the element $\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}(a)$ also lies in I. Suppose that I is $\mathcal{D}$-stable. The center Z_ε is spaned by Θ-weight elements. Let u_ε be a Θ-weight element in Z_ε. Choose a H-weight element $u \in R$ such that $u_\varepsilon = u \bmod (q - \varepsilon)$. Then for any $a \in I_\theta$ we have

$$\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}(\mathcal{D}_u a) = \mathcal{D}_u(\theta_{i_1} + \underline{m}_{i_1}) \cdots (\theta_{i_k} + \underline{m}_{i_k})(a) \subset \mathcal{D}_u(I) \subset I.$$

It follows $\mathcal{D}_u(a) \in I_\Theta$. Whence I_Θ is $\mathcal{D}$-stable. Similar for $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable ideals.

3) For any ideal I of R_ε the ideal I_Θ is a span of all Θ-weight elements in I. Similar the ideal $\mathcal{I}_H$ is a span of all H-weight elements in $\mathcal{I} = \rho_\varepsilon^{-1}(I)$. For any Θ-weight element $a_\varepsilon \in I$ one can choose a H-stable element $a \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $a_\varepsilon = \rho_\varepsilon(a) = a \bmod (q - \varepsilon)$. We have $\rho_\varepsilon^{-1}(I_\Theta) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_H$. On the other hand, if an element a is H-weight, then the element a_ε is Θ-weight. This proves the inverse containment.□

Theorem 3.15.

1) There are at most finite number of points of bad reduction among non roots of unity.

2) Denote by $\Lambda_1 = \{\varepsilon \in \Lambda : l \text{ is relatively prime with } s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ (see Condition 3.2). There are at most finite number of points of bad reduction in Λ_1.

Proof.

Step 1. Suppose that λ is not a root of 1. First consider the semiprime H-stable (see Conditon 3.3) ideal $\mathcal{P}_{i_1}$ defined in (3.2) as an intersection of prime ideals $\mathcal{Q} \in X'_1$. These prime ideals are H-stable [P2, Prop.2.1]. For almost all λ each ideal $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$ is semiprime [P2, Prop.2.7]. Recall that $x_{n-i_1} \notin \mathcal{Q}$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in X'_1$. For almost all λ the element $x_{n-i_1,\lambda}$ is regular in $R_\lambda/\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$ [P2,Prop.2.7]. This proves that $\mathcal{P}_{i_1,\lambda}$ is semiprime and the element $x_{n-i_1,\lambda}$ is regular in $R_\lambda/\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$ for each $\mathcal{Q} \in X'_1$. The set $S_{1*} = \{x_{n-i_1}^m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ obeys the Ore condition in $R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1}$ [P2,Theorem 3.4]. Then $S_{1*,\lambda}$ obeys Ore condition in $R_\lambda/\mathcal{P}_{i_1,\lambda}$. We conclude that $S_{1*,\lambda}$ is a denominator set and consider the localization $R_\lambda^{(1)}$ of $R_\lambda/\mathcal{P}_{i_1,\lambda}$ over $S_{1*,\lambda}$.

For almost λ the elements of denomimator set $N_1 \subset C$ (see the stratification process) is non zero modulo $q - \lambda$. We can consider localization over N_1 modulo $q - \lambda$.

Following the stratification process, we see that all ideals $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda}$ are semiprime and $S_{\mu\lambda}$ is a denominator set in the factor algebra of R_λ over $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\lambda}$. This proves Property 1 of Definition 3.9.

Notice that, since λ is not a root of unity, then all prime ideals in R are completely prime [GL,Theorem 2.3].

Consider the nonempty Zariski-open set $\mathbb{O}_{st} \subset \overline{K}$ defined as follows (i.e. $\overline{K} - \mathbb{O}_{st}$ is finite). A point λ lies in $\overline{K} - \mathbb{O}_{st}$ if λ annihilate some of ideal in $X''_m \bigcap C$ (see the stratification process) or some element in $S_\mu \bigcap C$. The Property 2 of Definition 3.9 is true for all λ in $\mathbb{O}_{st}$ [P2,Theorem 3.10].

Step 2. Suppose that $\lambda = \varepsilon \in \Lambda_1$. We assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{O}_{st}$. The proof of Property 1) of Definition 3.10 is similar to Step 1. We are going to prove Property 2) of the above definition. Let I be a prime $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable ideal in R_ε. As usual $\mathcal{I} = \rho_\varepsilon^{-1}(I)$ with $\mathcal{I} \bigcap C = (q-\varepsilon)C$. Denote $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_H$ and $J = I_\Theta$. By Proposition 3.14, J is a $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable ideal and $\mathcal{J} = \rho_\varepsilon^{-1}(J)$. By [MC-R,14.2.3] and [D,3.3.2], the ideal J is prime.

Recall that Theorem 2.12 asserts that for all j the ideal $J_j = J \bigcap R_{j\varepsilon}$ is prime in $R_{j\varepsilon}$. It follows that $\mathcal{J}_j = \mathcal{J} \bigcap R_j$ is a prime ideal in R_j.

Suppose that some subset $L_1 = \{x_n, \ldots, x_{n-i_1-1}\} \subset \mathcal{J}$ and $x_{n-i_1} \notin \mathcal{J}$. It follows that $L_1 \subset \mathcal{I}$. Since all generators, in particular x_{n-i_1}, are H-weight elements, then $x_{n-i_1} \notin \mathcal{I}$. The ideal $\mathcal{J}$ contains a minimal prime ideal, say $\mathcal{Q}$, in the set X_1 (see the stratification process). Since $x_{n-i_1} \notin \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{Q} \in X'_1 \bigcup X''_1$. If $\mathcal{Q} \in X''_1$, then ε annihilates $Q \bigcap C$. This contradicts the claim $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{O}_{st}$. We get $\mathcal{Q} \in X'_1$ and $\mathcal{J}$ contains the H-stable ideal $\mathcal{P}_{i_1}$. We saw above that $\mathcal{J}_{n-i_1} = \mathcal{J} \bigcap R_{n-i_1}$ is a prime ideal in R_{n-i_1}. The algebra

$$R'_{n-i_1} = \frac{R_{n-i_1}}{\mathcal{P}_{i_1} \bigcap R_{n-i_1}}$$

is generated by q-commuting elements. The ideal

$$\mathcal{J}'_{n-i_1} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{n-i_1}}{\mathcal{P}_{i_1} \bigcap R_{n-i_1}}$$

is a prime ideal in R'_{n-i_1}.

We apply Lemma 3.11 for $\mathcal{J}'_{n-i_1}$. Since $x_{n-i_1} \notin \mathcal{J}$, the image of this element is regular in $R'_{n-i_1}/\mathcal{J}'_{n-i_1}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{J}'_{n-i_1}$ has empty intersection with denominator set $S_{1*} = \{x_{n-i_1}^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \subset R/\mathcal{P}_{i_1}$. By [D,3.6.17] or [MC-R,2.1.16], the ideal $\mathcal{J}'$ admits localization over x_{n-i_1}. Since S_{1*} consists of H-weight elements, the ideal $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{P}_{i_1}$ also has empty intersection with S_{1*} and admits localization on S_{1*}.

Since $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{O}_{st}$, then ε does not annihilate any element of $S \bigcap C$. Hence ε does not annihilate N_1 (see the stratification process). The ideals $\mathcal{J}'$ and $\mathcal{I}$ admit localization over N_1 (see stratification process).

Suppose that $\mathcal{J}'$ contains some subset $L_2 = \{x'_{n-i_1-1}, \ldots, x'_{n-i_1-i_2}\}$ and does not contain $x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1}$. It follows that $L_2 \subset \mathcal{I}'$. Since the generators are H-weight elements, $x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1} \notin \mathcal{I}'$. As above the claim $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{O}_{st}$ implies $\mathcal{J} \supseteq \mathcal{P}_{i_1 i_2}$ (see also [P2,Theorem 3.10]). As above the ideal $\mathcal{J}'' = \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{P}_{i_1 i_2}$ has empty intersection with denominator set $\{(x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1})^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$. The ideal $\mathcal{J}''$ admits localization over $x'_{n-i_1-i_2-1}$. The ideal $\mathcal{J}''/\mathcal{P}_{i_1} S_{2*}^{-1}$ admits localization over N_2. The same is true for $\mathcal{I}'' = \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{P}_{i_1 i_2}$. And so on.

Finally, we prove that ideal $\mathcal{I}$ contains a unique ideal $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ such that $S_\mu \bigcap \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{P}_\mu = \emptyset$. Then $I \supseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ and $S_{\mu\varepsilon} \bigcap I/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon} = \emptyset$. Notice that, by Lemma 3.12, the ideal $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is $\mathcal{D}$-stable and, by [P2, Prop.2.7], semiprime for almost all ε. $\square$

Since B_μ is generated by q-commuting elements, we shall recall some well known statements on algebras of twisted Laurent polynomials. Let $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{S}$ be as above $M \times M$-matrices with $q_{ij} = q^{s_{ij}}$. Let $B = B_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a free C-module and a unitary C-algebra generated by $u_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, u_M^{\pm 1}$ with relations $u_i u_j = q_{ij} u_j u_i$. The algebra B is a factor algebra of an algebra of twisted Laurent plynomials. One can decompose $B = A \otimes Z(B)$ where A is an algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials and $Z(B)$ is the center of B. The generators $y_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, y_{2r}^{\pm 1}$ of A are monomials of $u_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, u_M^{\pm 1}$ and obey the relations

$$y_1 y_2 = q^{d_1} y_2 y_1, \ldots, y_{2r-1} y_{2r} = q^{d_r} y_{2r} y_{2r-1} \tag{3.8}$$

with positive integers $d_1, \ldots, d_{2r}$. All other pairs of generators commute. Here $2r$ is the rank $\mathbb{S}$. We shall refer $2r$ as the rank of B. The center $Z(B)$ is generated by monomials. Any ideal of B is generated by its intersection with the center $Z(B)$. Any prime ideal of B is completely prime.

One can consider the specialisation B_ε of B at primitive l^{th} root of unity ε. We obtain $B_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon \otimes Z(B)_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 3.16. Suppose that l is relatively prime with the elementary divisors of matrix $\mathbb{S}$. Then 1) the center $Z(B_\varepsilon)$ is generated as K-algebra by $u_{1\varepsilon}^{\pm l}, \ldots, u_{M\varepsilon}^{\pm l}$ and the reduction $Z(B)_\varepsilon$ of $Z(B)$ modulo $q - \varepsilon$;
2) if the field K is algebraically closed, then the dimension of every irreducible representation of B_ε equals to l^r with $r = \frac{1}{2}\text{rank}(\mathbb{S})$.

Proof. Consider another system $y_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, y_{2r}^{\pm 1}, z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_t^{\pm 1}$, $2r + t = M$ of generators of B with the relations $y_1 y_2 = q^{d_1} y_2 y_1, \ldots, y_{2r-1} y_{2r} = q^{d_r} y_{2r} y_{2r-1}$. All other pairs of generators commute. Since $(l, d_i) = 1$ for all i, then the l^{th} powers of generators and $z_1, \ldots, z_t$ generate the center of B_ε. This proves 1).

Any irreducible representation π of B_ε determines the central character $\chi : Z(B_\varepsilon) \to K$:

$$\chi(y_i^l) = \gamma_i \in K^*, \qquad \chi(z_j) = \alpha_j \in K^*.$$

The factor algebra $B_\varepsilon/m(\chi)B_\varepsilon$ is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over K. This proves that any irreducible representation uniquely determines by its central character and has the dimension of statement 2). $\square$

Further we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_u$ the quantum adjoint action of an element $u \in B$ with $u_\varepsilon \in Z(B_\varepsilon)$. Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_u(Z(B)_\varepsilon) = 0$. For u_i^l we calculate

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{u_i^l}(u_j) = s_{ij} l \varepsilon^{-1} u_j u_i^l,$$

$$\{u_{i\varepsilon}^l, u_{j\varepsilon}^l\} = s_{ij} l \varepsilon^{-1} u_{i\varepsilon}^l u_{j\varepsilon}^l.$$

We say that an ideal of B_ε is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$-stable if it is stable with respect to all derivations $\mathcal{D}_u$ with $u_\varepsilon \in Z(B_\varepsilon)$. We say that an ideal of B_ε is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0$-stable if it is stable with respect to all derivations $\mathcal{D}_{u_i^l}$ with $1 \leq i \leq M$.

Lemma 3.17. Let l be as in Lemma 3.16. 1) Any $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0$-stable ideal of B_ε is generated by its intersection with $Z(B)_\varepsilon$; 2) Any Poisson ideal in $Z(B_\varepsilon)$ is generated by its intersection with $Z(B)_\varepsilon$; 3) For $K = \mathbb{C}$, each symplectic leaf of $\mathrm{Maxspec} Z(B_\varepsilon)$ has dimension $2r$, it is closed in Zariski topology and is defined by the ideal $\sum_j^t Z(B_\varepsilon)(z_j - \alpha_j)$ where $z_1, \dots, z_t$ generate $Z(B)_\varepsilon$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ are some complex numbers.

Proof. Choose the above generators $y_1, \dots, y_{2r}$ in B over $Z(B)$. Recall that each y_i is a monomial of $u_1, \dots, u_M$. Consider the derivations $\Phi_i = y_{i\varepsilon}\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{y_i^l}$ of B_ε. Let Φ be the linear subspace spanned by Φ_i, $1 \leq i \leq 2r$. If I is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0$-stable ideal, then it is Φ_i-stable for all $1 \leq i \leq 2r$ (call Φ-stable). The monomials $Y_{\overline{m}} = y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_{2r}^{m_{2r}}$ with $\overline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{2r})$ form a $Z(B)$-basis of B. The images $Y_{\overline{m}\varepsilon}$ of $Y_{\overline{m}}$ in B_ε form a $Z(B)_\varepsilon$-basis of B_ε. All $Y_{\overline{m}\varepsilon}$ are Φ-eigenvectors:

$$\Phi_i(Y_{\overline{m}\varepsilon}) = \pm d_{i\pm 1} m_i l \varepsilon^{-1} Y_{\overline{m}\varepsilon}$$

with different Φ-weights. This implies that any Φ-stable subspace is generated by elements $Y_{\overline{m}\varepsilon} z$ with $z \in Z(B)_\varepsilon$. Since the elements $Y_{\overline{m}\varepsilon}$ are invertible, any Φ-stable ideal is generated by its intersection with $Z(B)_\varepsilon$. Whence the same is true for any $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0$-stable ideal in B_ε. This proves 1). It implies 2) and 3). □

Corollary 3.18. Let l and B be as above. Any prime $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0$-stable (in particular, $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$-stable) ideal I of B_ε is completely prime.

Proof. Let I be a prime $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_0$-stable ideal in B_ε. By the above Lemma, I is generated by its intersection with $Z(B)_\varepsilon$. The intersection $I \bigcap Z(B)_\varepsilon$ is a completely prime ideal in $Z(B)_\varepsilon$ and

$$B_\varepsilon / I = A_\varepsilon \otimes \frac{Z(B)_\varepsilon}{I \bigcap Z(B)_\varepsilon}. \tag{3.9}$$

This proves the claim. □

Let $B = B_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be as above. Consider the filtration of B by subalgebras B_j generated by $u_j^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_M^{\pm 1}$:

$$B = B_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq B_j \supseteq \cdots \supseteq B_M \supseteq B_{M+1} = C.$$

The center $Z(B)$ has a filtration

$$Z(B) = Z(B)_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Z(B)_j \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Z(B)_M \supseteq Z(B_{M+1}) = C$$

where $Z(B) \bigcap B_j = Z(B)_j$. Similarly, $B_\varepsilon = B \bmod (q - \varepsilon)$ has the filtration $B_{j\varepsilon}$ with $Z(B_\varepsilon) \bigcap B_{j\varepsilon} = Z(B_\varepsilon)_j$. Clearly, $Z(B)_{j\varepsilon} \subset Z(B_\varepsilon)_j$.

Lemma 3.19. Let $\mathbb{S}_j$ be a S-submatrix of $(s_{i\beta})$, $1 \leq i \leq M$, $j \leq \beta \leq M$. Suppose that l is relatively prime with elementary divisors of $\mathbb{S}_j$. If $a = u_j^{n_1} \cdots u_M^{n_M}$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ lies in the center $Z(B_{\mathbb{Q},\varepsilon})$ then there exist the $m_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \leq \beta \leq M$, $m_\beta = n_\beta \bmod (l)$ such that $a = u_j^{m_1} \cdots u_M^{m_M}$ lies in the center $Z(B)$.

Proof. For any i and any monomial $a = u_j^{n_1} \cdots u_M^{n_M}$ we have

$$u_i a = u_i(u_j^{n_1} \cdots u_M^{n_M}) = q^{\sum s_{i\beta} n_\beta} u_j^{n_1} \cdots u_M^{n_M} u_i = q^{\sum s_{i\beta} n_\beta} a u_i.$$

A monomial a lies in $Z(B_\varepsilon)$ if $(n_j, \dots, n_M)$ is a solution of the system of equations

$$\sum_{\beta=j}^{M} s_{i\beta} n_\beta = 0 \bmod (l), \qquad 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

Under the requirements on l any solution modulo l is a reduction modulo l of a solution over $\mathbb{Z}$.$\square$

Definition 3.20. We say that a primitive l^{th} root of unity ε is admissible if the elements $x_1^l, \dots, x_{n+m}^l$ lie in the center of R_ε (i.e. $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$), ε is a point of good reduction (see Definition 3.10), and l is relatively prime with all minors of integer matrix $\mathbb{S}$ (Definition 3.1) and with all integers $s_1, \dots, s_n$ (Condition 3.2).

Suppose that ε is admissible. Consider the reduction of ideals $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ and denominator sets $S_\mu \subset R/\mathcal{P}_\mu$ modulo $q-\varepsilon$. We denote $B = B_\mu = (R/\mathcal{P}_\mu)S_\mu^{-1}$ and $B_\varepsilon = B \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$. We get $\mathcal{D}$-stable semiprime ideals $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ in R_ε. Recall that B_ε is generated by q-commuting elements $u_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_{k+m}^{\pm 1}$ (see (3.4),(3.5)).

One can extend the $\mathcal{D}$-action from R_ε to B_ε. The algebra B_ε admits also the quantum adjoint action $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ of its center $Z(B_\varepsilon)$. Our next goal is to compair these two actions. We consider $Z(B_\varepsilon)\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ the $Z(B_\varepsilon)$-module spanned by $z_\varepsilon \overline{\mathcal{D}}_b$ with $b \in B$, $b_\varepsilon = b \bmod (q-\varepsilon) \in Z(B_\varepsilon)$ and $z_\varepsilon \in Z(B_\varepsilon)$. We consider the $Z(B_\varepsilon)$-submodule $\mathrm{ad}_{B_\varepsilon}$ of inner derivations in B_ε. We denote by $Z(B_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_0$ the $Z(B_\varepsilon)$-submodule

$$Z(B_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_{x_1^l} + \dots + Z(B_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_{x_n^l}$$

of derivations of B_ε.

Proposition 3.21. As above R is a quantum solvable algebra obeying Conditions 3.2-3.4 and ε is admissible. Then $Z(B_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_0 = Z(B_\varepsilon)\overline{\mathcal{D}} \bmod (\mathrm{ad}_{B_\varepsilon})$.

Proof. First $Z(B_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_0 \subseteq Z(B_\varepsilon)\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ (by Lemma 3.12, the derivation $\mathcal{D}_{x_i^l} : B_\varepsilon \to B_\varepsilon$ coincides with $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ derivation of the image of x_i^l in B). To conclude the proof we are going to prove $Z(B_\varepsilon)\mathcal{D}_0$ contains $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ modulo inner derivations.

Consider the filtration of $B = B_\mu$ (see (3.6)). Recall that by $Z(B_\varepsilon)_j$ we denote the intersection of $Z(B_\varepsilon)$ with $B_{j\varepsilon}$. We consider

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_j = \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{Z(B_\varepsilon)_j} = \{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_u : u \in B, u_\varepsilon = u \bmod (q-\varepsilon) \in Z(B_\varepsilon)_j\}.$$

To within an inner derivation, we may choose above $u \in B_j$. We denote $Z(B_\varepsilon)_j\mathcal{D}_{0j} = Z(B_\varepsilon)_j\mathcal{D}_{x_j^l} + \dots + Z(B_\varepsilon)_j\mathcal{D}_{x_n^l}$. We shall prove by induction on j that $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_j$ equals to $Z(B_\varepsilon)_j\mathcal{D}_{0j}$ modulo inner derivations.

The statement is obviously true for $j = n+1$. Assume that the statement is true for $j+1$. Our goal is to prove it for j.

Let $B_j \neq B_{j+1}$. The algebra B_j is generated by B_{j+1}, x_j and also by B_{j+1}, u_s. The elements u_s and x_j are related as (3.7). To simplify the notations we put $u_s = u$. Recall that $u_\varepsilon^l \in Z(B_\varepsilon)$ and $x_{j\varepsilon}^l \in Z(R_\varepsilon)$.

1) Suppose that there exists a monomial $z = u^m b \in Z(B)_j$ with $m \neq 0$ and $b \in B_{j+1}$. We denote by m_0 the least positive integer with the following property. The element $v = u^{m_0} b_0$ modulo $q-\varepsilon$ lies in $Z(B_\varepsilon)_j$ for some $b_0 \in B_{j+1}$. Here one may consider b_0 to be a monomial. The monomial b_0 is unique up to multiplicaton by a monomial which modulo $q-\varepsilon$ lies in $Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1}$.

The algebra $Z(B_\varepsilon)_j$ is generated by $v_\varepsilon = v \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ and $Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1}$. Hence, m_0 divides m. Put $m = pm_0$. We obtain $z = v^p a$ with a monomial $a \in B_{j+1}$ and $p \neq 0$. Notice that $a \bmod (q-\varepsilon)$ lies in $Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1}$.

Since $z \in Z(B)_j$, we have $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_z = 0$. We obtain

$$0 = \overline{\mathcal{D}}_z = p v_\varepsilon^{p-1} a_\varepsilon \overline{\mathcal{D}}_v + v_\varepsilon^p \overline{\mathcal{D}}_a.$$

Then $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_v = -p^{-1} v_\varepsilon a_\varepsilon^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_a$. By assumption of induction, the derivation $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_a$ lies in $Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1} \mathcal{D}_{0,j+1}$ modulo inner derivations. This proves the statement.
2) Suppose that the condition $z = u^m b \in Z(B)_j$ implies $m = 0$. This proves that $Z(B)_j = Z(B)_{j+1}$. Consider the element $v = u^{m_0} b_0$ from point 1). The algebra $Z(B)_\varepsilon$ is generated by v_ε and $Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1}$. By the choise, $m_0 \leq l$. If $m_0 < l$, then by Lemma 3.19 there exists a monomial $u^m b \in Z(B)_j$ with $m_0 = m \bmod (l)$. By the assumption of point 2), $m = 0$. Whence, $m_0 = l$ and $Z(B_\varepsilon)_j$ is generated by u_ε^l and $Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1}$. By (3.7), $u = x_j + r_{j+1}$ with $r_{j+1} \in B_{j+1}$. Then $u^l = x_j^l + F(u)$. Where $F(u)$ a polynomial of u of degree $\leq l-1$ and $F(u)_\varepsilon$ lies in $Z(B_\varepsilon)_j$. The algebra $Z(B_\varepsilon)_j$ is generated by monomials. Applying Lemma 3.19, we deduce that $F(u) \bmod (q - \varepsilon) \in Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1}$. That is $F(u) = (q-\varepsilon) r + c$ for some $r \in B_j$ and $c \in B_{j+1}$. The element c modulo $q - \varepsilon$ lies in $Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1}$. We obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{u^l} = \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{x_j^l} + \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{F(u)} = \mathcal{D}_{x_j^l} + \mathrm{ad}_{r_\varepsilon} + \overline{\mathcal{D}}_c \in \mathcal{D}_{x_j^l} + \mathrm{ad}_{r_\varepsilon} + \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{Z(B_\varepsilon)_{j+1}}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we prove the claim. □.
Corollary 3.22. Let L be either a $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable ideal in B_ε or $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable ideal in $Z(B_\varepsilon)$, then L is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$-stable.
Notations 3.23.
1) Let R be a domain and a C-algebra. Let I be an ideal in $R_\varepsilon = R \bmod (q - \varepsilon)$. We denote by $I_{\mathcal{D}}$ the greatest $\mathcal{D}$-stable (i.e. stable with respect to quantum adjoint action) ideal in I.
2) Let R be quantum solvable algebra such that $x_1^l, \ldots, x_n^l$ modulo $q - \varepsilon$ lie in the center of R_ε (i.e. $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$). We denote by $I_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ the greatest $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable ideal in I.
Theorem 3.24. As above R is a quantum solvable algebra obeying Conditions 3.2-3.4, and ε is admissible.
1) Any prime $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable (in particular, $\mathcal{D}$-stable) ideal I is completely prime.
2) For above I skew field of fractions $\mathrm{Fract}(R_\varepsilon/I)$ is isomorphic to a skew field of an algebra of twisted polynomials.
Proof. There exists standard $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ such that $I \supseteq \mathcal{P}_\mu$ and $I/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ admits localization over $S_{\mu\varepsilon}$. The ideal $I' = (I/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}) S_{\mu\varepsilon}^{-1}$ of $B_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is prime $\mathcal{D}_0$-stable. By Corollary 3.22, I' is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$-stable. The algebra $B_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is a factor algebra of an algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials. By Corollary 3.18, the ideal I' is completely prime. Whence I is completely prime. This proves 1). The claim 2) follows from the observation $\mathrm{Fract}(R_\varepsilon/I) = \mathrm{Fract}(B_{\mu\varepsilon}/I')$ and (3.9). □
Corollary 3.25. For any prime ideal I in R_ε the ideals $I_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ and $I_{\mathcal{D}}$ are completely prime.
Proof. For any prime ideal I of R_ε the ideals $I_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ and $I_{\mathcal{D}}$ are also prime ideals of R_ε [MC-R,14.2.3],[D,3.3.2]. The Theorem concludes the proof. □

4 On Poisson algebras

In this section we recall some notions and prove some statements which we shall use in the next section.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative affine $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with a Poisson bracket. We shall say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Poisson algebra and $X = \mathrm{Maxspec}(\mathcal{A})$ is a Poisson variety.

We consider the following stratification of X [BG]: $X = X_0 \supset X_1 \supset \cdots \supset X_m = \emptyset$ with $X_i = (X_{i-1})_{\mathrm{sing}}$. The corresponding ideals $I_0 = \sqrt{\{0\}} \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_m = \mathcal{A}$ are semiprime for all $i = 0, \cdots, m$. These ideals are Poisson [Pl, Corollary 2.4]. The smooth locus $X_i^0 = X_i - X_{i-1}$ of X_i is a complex analytic Poisson variety. It is a disjoint union of symplectic leaves. Recall that the symplectic leaf Ω_x containing the point $x \in X$ is the maximal connected complex analytic variety in X such that $x \in \Omega_x$ and the Poisson bracket is nondegenerate at every point of Ω_x.

For any system of generators $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ we denote by $M(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ the matrix $(\{a_i, a_j\})_{i,j=1}^n$. For any point $x \in X$ we consider the specialisation

$$M_x(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (\{a_i, a_j\}(x))_{i,j=1}^n.$$

The rank of the matrix $M_x(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ depends of x and does not depends of the choice of generators [V,2.6]. We denote

$$\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}_x M(a_1, \ldots, a_n).$$

Notice that $\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} = \dim \Omega_x$.

Definition 4.1. We shall denote by $\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{A}$ and call rank of $\mathcal{A}$ the maximum of $\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A}$, $x \in X$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Poisson subalgebra in $\mathcal{A}$ and $Y = \mathrm{Maxspec}(\mathcal{B})$. Denote by $\phi : X \to Y$ the corresponding Poisson morphism of algebraic varieties. For any system of generators $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ of $\mathcal{B}$ there exists a matrix T with entries in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $M_y(b_1, \ldots, b_m) = T^t M_x(a_1, \ldots, a_n) T$ for $y = \phi(x)$. It follows that $\mathrm{rank}_y\mathcal{B} \leq \mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ as above. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is finite over $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists a Zariski-open nonempty subset $U \subset Y$ such that for all $y \in Y$ and $x \in \phi^{-1}(y)$ there holds $\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}_y\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. The radical of an algebra and all its minimal prime ideals are stable with respect to any its derivation [D, 3.3.3]. It follows that the radical of Poisson algebra and all its minimal prime ideals are Poisson ideals. It is sufficient to prove the claim in the case $\mathcal{A}$ is a domain.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a domain and $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be generators of $\mathcal{A}$. Consider the first generator $a = a_1$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is finite over $\mathcal{B}$ there exists a polynomial $f(t) = c_0 t^k + c_1 t^{k-1} + \ldots + c_k$ with $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_k \in \mathcal{B}$ and $c_0 \neq 0$ such that $f(a) = 0$. We may assume that $f(t)$ is irreducible over $\mathrm{Fract}(\mathcal{B})$. This implies that the discriminant $\mathrm{Disc}(f)$ is a nonzero element of $\mathcal{B}$. Denote by $U_1 = \{y \in Y : \mathrm{Disc}(f)(y) \neq 0, c_0(y) \neq 0\}$ and let $x \in \phi^{-1}(U_1)$. We have $\phi(x) = y \in U_1$.

Notice that $a(x)$ is a root of the polynomial $f_{(y)}(t) = c_0(y)t^k + c_1(y)t^{k-1} + \ldots + c_k(y)$. Since $\mathrm{Disc} f_{(y)}(y) = \mathrm{Disc}(f)(y) \neq 0$, all roots (in particular, $a(x)$) of $f_{(y)}(t)$ are simple. That is

$$f'_{(y)}(a(x)) \neq 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Consider the matrix $M_x(a_1,\dots,a_n,c_0,c_1,\dots,c_k)$. Its rank is equal to $\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}M_x(a_1,\dots,a_n)$. For any element g of the set $\{a_1,\dots,a_n,c_0,c_1,\dots,c_k\}$ we have $0 = \{f(a),g\} = f'(a)\{a,g\} + \{c_0,g\}a^k + \ldots + \{c_k,g\}$. Then

$$f'_{(y)}(a)(x)\{a,g\}(x) + \{c_0,g\}(y)a^k(x) + \ldots + \{c_k,g\}(y). \tag{4.2}$$

The first row and the first column of above matrix is a span of other rows and columns. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{rank}_x M(a_1,a_2\dots,a_n,c_0,\dots,c_k) = \mathrm{rank}_x M(a_2,\dots,a_n,c_0,\dots,c_k). \tag{4.3}$$

We may continue the process by putting $a = a_2$. At the end we have

$$\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}_y M(c_0,\dots,c_k,\dots,c_0^{(n)},\dots,c_{k^{(n)}}^{(n)})$$

where all $c_i^{(j)}$ lie in $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} \leq \mathrm{rank}_y\mathcal{B}$. Hence, $\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}_y\mathcal{B}$ for all y in some open subset U and $x \in \phi^{-1}(y)$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be as in Proposition. Then $\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Denote by X^0 (resp. Y^0) the subset of all $x \in X$ (resp. $y \in Y$) such that $\mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A}$ (resp.$\mathrm{rank}_y\mathcal{B}$) is not maximal. For any x in the intersection of the open subsets $X - X^0$, $\phi^{-1}(U)$ (see above Proposition), and $\phi^{-1}(Y - Y^0)$, we have $\mathrm{rank}\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}_y\mathcal{B} = \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{B}$. □

5 Representations at roots of unity

Let $K = \mathbb{C}$ be the field of complex numbers. Let R be a quantum solvable algebra obeying Conditions 3.2-3.4. Suppose that ε is admissible l^{th} root of unity (see Definition 3.20). As above, Z_ε is the center of R_ε. The algebra Z_ε is a Poisson algebra. It determines the algebraic Poisson manifold $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{Maxspec}(Z_\varepsilon)$.

Let π be an irreducible complex representation of a quantum solvable algebra R_ε. Since R_ε is finite over its center, then π has finite dimension. Denote by $I(\pi)$ the kernel of the representation π in R_ε. For $I(\pi)$ we consider $I(\pi)_\mathcal{D}$-the greatest $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideal in $I(\pi)$.

Denote by $\chi = \chi(\pi) : Z_\varepsilon \to K$ the central character of π. The intersection $I(\pi)\bigcap Z_\varepsilon$ is the maximal ideal $m(\chi)$ for $\chi \in Z_\varepsilon$.

We denote by Ω_χ the symplectic leaf of χ with respect to Poisson bracket on Z_ε. We consider the greatest $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideal $m(\chi)_\mathcal{D}$ in $m(\chi)$. The ideal $m(\chi)_\mathcal{D}$ is prime [D,3.3.2]. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_\chi$ the annihilator set $\mathrm{Ann}(m(\chi)_\mathcal{D})$. Remark that $\mathcal{M}_\chi$ is the Zariski closure of the symplectic leaf Ω_χ. We shall prove further (Theorem 5.3) that Ω_χ is open in its closure $\mathcal{M}_\chi$. The algebra of regular functions $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_\chi]$ coincides with $Z_\varepsilon/m(\chi)_\mathcal{D}$. The Poisson structure on Z_ε provides the Poisson structure in $\mathcal{F}$.

Notice that an element a lies in $I(\pi)_\mathcal{D}$ iff for any system of elements $u_1,\dots,u_s \in R$, obeying $u_{1\varepsilon},\dots,u_{s\varepsilon} \in Z_\varepsilon$, the element $\mathcal{D}_{u_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}_{u_s}(a)$ lies in $I(\pi)$. This proves that $I(\pi)_\mathcal{D}\bigcap Z_\varepsilon = m(\chi)_\mathcal{D}$ and $Z_\varepsilon/m(\chi)_\mathcal{D} = Z_\varepsilon/(I(\pi)_\mathcal{D}\bigcap Z_\varepsilon)$. Denote

$$R_{\chi,\mathcal{D}} = \frac{R_\varepsilon}{m(\chi)_\mathcal{D}R_\varepsilon}.$$

Lemma 5.1. Let f be a nonzero element of $\mathcal{F}$. There exists $p \in \Omega_\chi$ such that $f(p) \neq 0$ and $R_\varepsilon/m(\chi)R_\varepsilon$ is isomorphic to $R_\varepsilon/m(p)R_\varepsilon$.
Proof. If $f(\chi) \neq 0$, we put $p = \chi$. Let $f(\chi) = 0$. Consider $R_{\pi,\mathcal{D}}$ as a $\mathcal{F}$-module. Let W_1 be the subvariety of points of $\mathcal{M}_\chi$ for which the rank of $R_{\chi,\mathcal{D}}$ over $\mathcal{F}$ is nonminimal. The set W_1 is a Poisson closed subset (i.e. an annihilator of Poisson ideal) of $\mathcal{M}_\chi$ [BD,4.1]. Since $m(\chi)_\mathcal{D}$ is the minimal Poisson ideal which contains $m(\chi)$, the point χ does not belong to W_1. Since $m(p)_\mathcal{D} = m(\chi)_\mathcal{D}$ for all $p \in \Omega_\chi$ [BD,3.5], then $\Omega_\chi \bigcap W_1 = \emptyset$. Denote $W_2 = (\mathcal{M}_\chi)_{\mathrm{sing}}$. As above W_2 is a closed Poisson subvariety (Section 4) and $\Omega_\chi \bigcap W_2 = \emptyset$. The $\mathcal{F}$-module $R_{\chi,\mathcal{D}}$ has constant rank over the open smooth subset $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{M}_\chi - (W_1 \bigcup W_2)$. It implies that $R_{\chi,\mathcal{D}}$ is locally free over $\mathcal{O}$ [H, Exercise II.5.8]. Let U be an open subset such that $\chi \in U \subset \mathcal{O}$ and

$$R'_{\chi,\mathcal{D}} = R_{\chi,\mathcal{D}} \otimes_\mathcal{F} \mathbb{C}[U]$$

is free over $\mathbb{C}[U]$. We consider the algebra $C^\infty[U]$ of complex valued smooth functions on U and extend $R'_{\chi,\mathcal{D}}$ to the algebra

$$R^\infty_{\chi,\mathcal{D}} = R'_{\chi,\mathcal{D}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[U]} \mathbb{C}^\infty[U].$$

We consider this algebra as an algebra of smooth sections of a vector bundle with fibres $R_\varepsilon/m(x)R_\varepsilon$, $x \in U$. For any $u \in R_\varepsilon$ with $u_\varepsilon = u \bmod (q-\varepsilon) \in Z_\varepsilon$ we extend $\mathcal{D}_u$ to the derivation of $R^\infty_{\chi,\mathcal{D}}$. There exists a local flow $G_u(t)$ of automorphisms of $R^\infty_{\chi,\mathcal{D}}$ lifting $\mathcal{D}_u$ [DC-L,9.1],[DC-P,11.8],[BG,4.2]. The local flow is defined for small $|t|$ and sends fibres to fibres. Since f is a nonzero element of $\mathcal{F} = Z_\varepsilon/m(\chi)_\mathcal{D}$, there exist elements $u_1, \ldots, u_s$ such that $u_{1\varepsilon}, \ldots, u_{n\varepsilon} \in Z_\varepsilon$ and the element $\mathcal{D}_{u_1} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{u_s}(f)(\chi) \neq 0$. Let $G_{u_1}(t_1), \ldots, G_{u_s}(t_s)$ be the corresponding local flows defined for small $|t_i|$. Put $p = G_{u_s}(t_s) \cdots G_{u_1}(t_1)(\chi)$. We have $f(p) \neq 0$. The algebras $R_\varepsilon/m(\chi)R_\varepsilon$ and $R_\varepsilon/m(p)R_\varepsilon$ are isomorphic. □
Definition 5.2. We say that two irredicible representations π_1 and π_2 are $\mathcal{D}$-equivalent if $I(\pi_1)_\mathcal{D} = I(\pi_2)_\mathcal{D}$.
Theorem 5.3. As above R is a quantum solvable algebra obeying Conditions 3.2-3.4 and ε is an admissible l^{th} root of unity. We assert that:
1) dimension of any irreducible representation π of R_ε equals to $l^{\frac{1}{2}\dim(\Omega_\chi)}$ where $\chi : Z_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{C}$ is the central character of π and Ω_χ is the symplectic leaf of χ in $M = \mathrm{MaxspecZ}_\varepsilon$;
2) any symplectic leaf Ω_χ is Zariski-open in its Zariski closure (in the paper [BD] such leaves are called algebraic);
3) if two points χ_1 and χ_2 belong to a common symplectic leaf, then the algebras R_{χ_1} and R_{χ_2} are isomorphic;
4) let π, π' are irreducible representations of R_ε and χ, χ' their central characters. If π and π are $\mathcal{D}$-equivalent, then χ and χ' lie in the common symplectic leaf.
Proof. Our first goal is to prove 1). Let π be an irreducible representation of R_ε. The ideal $I(\pi)_\mathcal{D}$ is completely prime (Corollary 3.25). There exists a unique standart semiprime $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideal $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ and a denominator set $S_{\mu\varepsilon}$ in $R_{\mu\varepsilon} = R_\varepsilon/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ such that
1) $B_{\mu\varepsilon} = R_{\mu\varepsilon}S^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is a factor algebra of an algebra twisted Laurent polynomials;
2) $I(\pi)_\mathcal{D} \supseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ and $S_{\mu\varepsilon} \bigcap I/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon} = \emptyset$. (see Theorem 3.6, Definitions 3.10, 3.20). We

assume that $S_{\mu\varepsilon}$ lies in $Z_{\mu\varepsilon} = \mathrm{Center}(\mathrm{R}_{\mu\varepsilon})$ (see Remark 3.7). We have

$$R_{\mu\varepsilon} \supset Z_{\mu\varepsilon} \supset Z'_{\mu\varepsilon} = \frac{Z_\varepsilon}{\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon} \bigcap Z_\varepsilon}. \tag{5.1}$$

The algebra $Z_{\mu\varepsilon}$ has a Poisson structure and the algebra $Z'_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is a Poisson subalgebra of $Z_{\mu\varepsilon}$ (Lemma 3.12). The algebra $Z_{\mu\varepsilon}$ provides the quantum adjoint action on $R_{\mu\varepsilon}$. We shall denote it by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_u$ where $u \in R/\mathcal{P}_\mu$ and $u_\varepsilon \in Z_{\mu\varepsilon}$.

By Lemma 3.16, $B_{\mu\varepsilon} = A_\varepsilon \otimes Z(B_\mu)_\varepsilon$ where A_ε is an algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials generated by $y^{\pm 1}_{1\varepsilon}, \ldots, y^{\pm 1}_{2r\varepsilon}$ with relations (3.8). The $Z(B_\mu)_\varepsilon$ is the reduction of $Z(B_\mu)$ modulo $q - \varepsilon$. As in proof of Lemma 3.16, $Z(B_\mu)_\varepsilon$ is generated by $z^{\pm}_{1\varepsilon}1, \ldots, z_{t\varepsilon}$. The center $Z(B_{\mu\varepsilon})$ of $B_{\mu\varepsilon}$ coincides with $Z_{\mu\varepsilon}S^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ and is generated by $y^{\pm l}_{1\varepsilon}, \ldots, y^{\pm l}_{2r\varepsilon}$ and $Z(B_\mu)_\varepsilon$. We preserve the notation $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ for the quantum adjoint action of $Z(B_{\mu\varepsilon})$ in $B_{\mu\varepsilon}$ (Section 3) .

Step 1. By the Schur Lemma, $\pi(s) \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $s \in S_{\mu\varepsilon}$. Our goal in Step 1 is to reduce the general case to the case $\pi(S_{\mu\varepsilon}) \neq 0$.

The ideal $J = I(\pi)_{\mathcal{D}}/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ of $R_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is the greatest $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideal in $I(\pi)/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon}$. The ideal J is is completely prime. The localization $JS^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is $\mathcal{D}$-stable ideal in $B_{\mu\varepsilon}$. By Corollary 3.22, $JS^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$-stable. This implies that J is also $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$-stable with respect to the quantum adjoint action of $Z_{\mu\varepsilon}$ in $R_{\mu\varepsilon}$. Denote

$$R_{\pi,\mathcal{D}} = R_\varepsilon/I(\pi)_{\mathcal{D}} = R_{\mu\varepsilon}/J, \qquad \mathcal{Z} = \frac{Z_{\mu\varepsilon}}{J \bigcap Z_{\mu\varepsilon}}.$$

We preserve the notation $S_{\mu\varepsilon}$ for the its image in $\mathcal{Z}$ and denote $B_{\pi,\mathcal{D}} = R_{\pi,\mathcal{D}}S^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon}$. Remark that

$$\mathcal{Z}S^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon} = \frac{Z_{\mu\varepsilon}S^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon}}{JS^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon} \bigcap Z_{\mu\varepsilon}S^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon}} = \frac{Z(B_{\mu\varepsilon})}{JS^{-1}_{\mu\varepsilon} \bigcap Z(B_{\mu\varepsilon})}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\frac{Z'_{\mu\varepsilon}}{J \bigcap Z'_{\mu\varepsilon}} = \frac{Z_\varepsilon}{I(\pi)_{\mathcal{D}} \bigcap Z_\varepsilon} = \frac{Z_\varepsilon}{m(\chi)_{\mathcal{D}}} = \mathbb{C}[M_\chi] = \mathcal{F}.$$

We have

$$R_{\pi,\mathcal{D}} \supset \mathcal{Z} \supset \mathcal{F}. \tag{5.3}$$

All algebras in (5.3) are domains, $\mathcal{Z}$ is a Poisson algebra, $\mathcal{F}$ is its Poisson subalgebra. Let $s_1, \ldots, s_k$ be q-commuting generators of $S_{\mu\varepsilon}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is finite over $\mathcal{F}$, the $\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is a field. The element s_i^{-1} can be presented in the form

$$s_i^{-1} = a_i w_i^{-1},$$

where $a_i \in \mathcal{Z}$, $w_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Let N denote the denominator subset in $\mathcal{F}$ generated by $w_1, \ldots, w_k$. After localization we obtain

$$B_{\pi,\mathcal{D}}N^{-1} = R_{\pi,\mathcal{D}}N^{-1} \supset \mathcal{A} := \mathcal{Z}N^{-1} \supset \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{F}N^{-1}. \tag{5.4}$$

Denote $X = \mathrm{Maxspec}(\mathcal{A})$, $Y = \mathrm{Maxspec}(\mathcal{B})$ and $f_0 = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{F}$. We apply Lemma 5.1. To prove statement 1) of the Theorem we may consider that $f_0(\chi) \neq 0$. Then

$\pi(w_i) = w_i(\chi) \neq 0$. Since $w_i = a_i s_i$, $\pi(s_i) \neq 0$.
Step 2. By Step 1, one may suppose that π is lifted to a representation of $B_{\pi,\mathcal{D}}N^{-1}$. Therefore, π is an irreducible representation of the algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials. By Lemma 3.16,

$$\dim \pi = l^r. \tag{5.5}$$

We denote $\pi(z_{i\varepsilon}) = \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$. According to Proposition 3.21, an ideal in $B_{\mu\varepsilon}N^{-1}$ is $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$-stable ideal if and only if it is $\mathcal{D}$-stable. This implies that JN^{-1} is the greatest $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ stable ideal in $(I(\pi)/\mathcal{P}_{\mu\varepsilon})N^{-1}$ and

$$JN^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{\mu\varepsilon}N^{-1}(z_i - \alpha_i).$$

This implies that the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is the localization of subalgebra generated by $y^{\pm 1}_{l\varepsilon}, \dots, y^{\pm l}_{2r\varepsilon}$. The set X is a Zariski-open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2r}$. By Lemma.3.8, X is a symplectic variety. We have $2r = \dim X = \mathrm{rank}\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A}$ for any $x \in X$.

The set Y is a Zariski-open subset in $M_\chi = \overline{\Omega_\chi}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is finite over $\mathcal{B}$, then $\dim X = \dim Y$ and, by Proposition 4.2, there exists a Zariski-open subset U of Y (we may assume that $U \bigcap (M_\chi)_{\mathrm{sing}} = \emptyset$) such that $\mathrm{rank}_y\mathcal{B} = \mathrm{rank}_x\mathcal{A} = \dim X$ for $y = \phi(x)$. We obtain $\mathrm{rank}_y\mathcal{B} = \dim Y = \dim U$ for all $y \in U$. Hence, U is contained in a symplectic leaf. Since $\Omega_\chi \bigcap U \neq \emptyset$, then $\Omega_\chi \supset U$ and $\dim \Omega_\chi = \dim U = \dim Y = \dim X$. Finally, we get $r = \frac{1}{2}\dim X = \frac{1}{2}\dim \Omega_\chi$ and, by (5.5), $\dim \pi = l^{\frac{1}{2}\dim \Omega_\chi}$.This proves 1).
Step 3. Our goal is to prove 2),3),4).
2) We have proved in Step 2 that Ω_χ contains a Zariski-open subset U. For any element $p \in \overline{\Omega_\chi} - \Omega_\chi$ we have

$$\dim \Omega_p \leq \dim(\overline{\Omega_\chi} - U) < \dim U = \dim \Omega_\chi.$$

The set $\mathcal{M}_{<2r} := \{p \in \mathcal{M} : \dim(\Omega_p) < 2r\}$ is Zariski-closed in $\mathcal{M}$ [BG,3.1]. The subset $\overline{\Omega_\chi} - \Omega_\chi$ coincides with $\overline{\Omega_\chi} \bigcap \mathcal{M} < 2r$ and is Zariski-closed. This proves statement 2.
3) For any two points χ_1 and χ_2 of Ω_χ. By Lemma 5.1 , there exist $p_1, p_2 \in U$ such that R_{χ_1} is isomorphic to R_{p_1} and R_{χ_2} is isomorphic to R_{p_2}. The algebras R_{p_1} and R_{p_2} are isomorphic as fibers of the algebra of twisted Laurent polynomials $B_{\pi,\mathcal{D}}N^{-1}$. This proves 3.
4) Let π_1 and π_2 be two $\mathcal{D}$-equivalent representations of R_ε. Then $I(\pi_1)_{\mathcal{D}} = I(\pi_2)_{\mathcal{D}}$. Then $m(\chi_1)_{\mathcal{D}} = m(\chi_2)_{\mathcal{D}}$. By 2), the points χ_1 and χ_2 lie in a common symplectic leaf.□

References

[BG] K.A.Brown, I.Gordon, *Poisson orders, symplection reflection algebras and representation theory*, archived as math.RT/0201042.

[DCKP1] C.De Concini, V.G.Kac, C.Procesi, *Some quantum analogues of solvable Lie groups*, archived as hep-th/9308138.

[DCKP2] C.De Concini, V.G.Kac, C.Procesi, *Quantum coadjoint action*, Journal of Amer.Math.Soc.,**5**(1992), 151-189.

[DC-P] C.De Concini, C.Procesi, *Quantum Groups*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1565**(1993), 31-140.

[DC-L] C.De Concini, V.Lyubashenko, *Quantum Function Algebra at Roots of 1*, Adv.Math.,**108**(1994), 205-262.

[D] J.Dixmier, *Algebres Enveloppantes*, Gauthier-Villars Editeur, Paris, 1974.

[G] K.R.Goodearl, *Prime ideals in skew polynomial rings and quantized Weyl algebras*, J.Algebra,**150**(1992), 324-377.

[GL1] K.R.Goodearl and E.S.Letzter, *Prime Ideals in Skew and q-Skew Polynomial Rings*, Memoirs Amer.Math.Soc., **521** (1994).

[GL2] K.R.Goodearl and E.S.Letzter, *Prime factor algebras of the coordinate ring of quantum matrices*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc., **121**(1994),No.4, 1017-1025.

[H] R.Hartshorne,*Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **52**, Springer, Berlin, 1977.

[JZ] H.P.Jakobsen, H.Z.Zhang, *Quantized Heisenberg Space*, Algebra and Representation Theory **3**(2000), 151-174.

[MC-R] J.C.McConnel, J.C.Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Wileys-Interscience, New York, 1987.

[P1] A.N.Panov, *Skew fields of fractions of quantum solvable algebras*, J.Algebra, **236**(2001), 110-121.

[P2] A.N.Panov, *Stratification of prime spectrum of quantum solvable algebras*, Comm.Algebra **29**(2001), No.9, 3801-3827.

[Pl] A.Polyschuk,*Algebraic geometry of Poisson brackets*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **84**(1997), 1413-1444.

[V] P.Vanhaecke, *Integrable Systems in the realm of algebraic geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1638**, Springer, 1996.